

Help! My system is slow!

Profiling tools, tips and tricks

Kris Kennaway kris@FreeBSD.org

Overview

Goal:

 Present some tools for evaluating the workload of your FreeBSD system, and identifying the bottleneck(s) that are limiting performance on a workload.

Outline

- What is the system doing?
- Tools for investigating your workload
- Tuning for performance
- Benchmarking methodologies

What is performance?

- "Performance" is a meaningless concept in isolation
- It only makes sense to talk about performance of a particular workload, and according to a particular set of metrics
- The first step is to characterize the workload you care about, and what aspects of its operation are most important to you

e.g.

- webserver queries/second
- DNS server response latency
- Email delivery/second

What is your system doing?

How does your workload interact with the system?

- CPU use
- Disk I/O
- Network I/O
- Other device I/O
- Application (mis-)configuration
- Hardware limitations
- System calls and interaction with the kernel
- Multithreaded lock contention
- Not enough work?

Typically one or more of these elements will be the limiting factor in performance of your workload.

top, your new best friend

The top command shows a realtime overview of what your processes are doing.

- paging to/from swap
 - performance kiss of death!
- spending lots of time in the kernel, or processing interrupts
- Which processes/threads are using CPU
- What they are doing inside the kernel
 - o e.g. biord/biowr/wdrain: disk I/O
 - o sbwait: waiting for socket input
 - o ucond/umtx: waiting on an application thread lock
 - Many more
 - Only documented in the source code :-(
- Good for orientation, then dig deeper with other tools

Process summary

last pid: 5372; load averages: 8.11, 9.98, 14.01 up 0+01:22:42 22:31:41
125 processes: 10 running, 88 sleeping, 20 waiting, 7 lock
CPU: 35.7% user, 0.0% nice, 62.8% system, 0.0% interrupt, 1.5% idle
Mem: 103M Active, 3366M Inact, 850M Wired, 208K Cache, 682M Buf, 3616M CPU
Swap: 16G Total, 16G Free

			~	5	~~~~	~			morvuse
USERNAME	PRI	NICE	SIZE	RES	STATE	С	'L' T WF:		
mysql	108	0	637M	89940K	*bufob	6	3:02	56.88%	{mysqld}
mysql	107	0	637M	89940K	*bufob	2	2:51	54.79%	{mysqld}
mysql	107	0	637M	89940K	*bufob	5	2:52	51.17%	{mysqld}
mysql	106	0	637M	89940K	RUN	4	2:50	49.66%	{mysqld}
mysql	106	0	637M	89940K	*bufob	3	2:52	48.78%	{mysqld}
root	171	ki31	0K	128K	CPU6	6	23:39	2.29%	<pre>{idle: cpu6}</pre>
root	171	ki31	0K	128K	RUN	4	21:47	1.76%	<pre>{idle: cpu4}</pre>
	USERNAME mysql mysql mysql mysql mysql root root	USERNAMEPRImysql108mysql107mysql107mysql106mysql106root171root171	USERNAMEPRINICEmysql1080mysql1070mysql1070mysql1060mysql1060root171ki31root171ki31	USERNAMEPRINICESIZEmysql1080637Mmysql1070637Mmysql1060637Mmysql1060637Mmysql1060637Mmysql1060637Mmysql1060637Mmysql1060637Mmysql1060637Mroot171ki310Kroot171ki310K	USERNAMEPRINICESIZERESmysql1080637M89940Kmysql1070637M89940Kmysql1060637M89940Kmysql1060637M89940Kmysql1060637M89940Kroot171ki310K128Kroot171ki310K128K	USERNAME PRI NICE SIZE RES STATE mysql 108 0 637M 89940K *bufob mysql 107 0 637M 89940K *bufob mysql 107 0 637M 89940K *bufob mysql 106 0 637M 89940K *bufob root 171 ki31 0K 128K CPU6 root 171 ki31 0K 128K RUN	USERNAME PRI NICE SIZE RES STATE C mysql 108 0 637M 89940K *bufob 6 mysql 107 0 637M 89940K *bufob 2 mysql 107 0 637M 89940K *bufob 5 mysql 106 0 637M 89940K RUN 4 mysql 106 0 637M 89940K *bufob 3 root 171 ki31 0K 128K CPU6 6 root 171 ki31 0K 128K RUN 4	USERNAMEPRI NICESIZERESSTATECTIMEmysql1080637M89940K*bufob63:02mysql1070637M89940K*bufob22:51mysql1060637M89940K*bufob52:52mysql1060637M89940KRUN42:50mysql1060637M89940K*bufob32:52root171ki310K128KCPU6623:39root171ki310K128KRUN421:47	USERNAME PRI NICE SIZE RES STATE C TIME NICE mysql 108 0 637M 89940K *bufob 6 3:02 56.88% mysql 107 0 637M 89940K *bufob 2 2:51 54.79% mysql 107 0 637M 89940K *bufob 5 2:52 51.17% mysql 106 0 637M 89940K RUN 4 2:50 49.66% mysql 106 0 637M 89940K *bufob 3 2:52 51.17% mysql 106 0 637M 89940K RUN 4 2:50 49.66% mysql 106 0 637M 89940K *bufob 3 2:52 48.78% root 171 ki31 0K 128K CPU6 6 23:39 2.29% root 171 ki31 0K 128K RUN 4 21:47 1.76%



Disk I/O

For disk-intensive workloads, they may be limited by **bandwidth** or **latency** (response time for an I/O operation).

Random-access reads/writes require the disk to constantly seek, limiting throughput.

Sequential I/O is limited by the transfer rate of the disk and controller.

Also useful: iostat, systat
Many other activity metrics too

Measuring disk activity: gstat Throughput



%busy does not show when your device is saturated!
High latency is the most obvious sign of an overloaded disk

Per-process I/O stats from top -m io

top -m io displays per-process I/O stats

• -o total is useful sort ordering

also displays context switch and page fault information

last pid: 1593; load averages: 8.69, 7.07, 5.09 up 0+00:18:25 21:27:24 63 processes: 5 running, 58 sleeping CPU: 64.4% user, 0.0% nice, 20.9% system, 0.1% interrupt, 14.6% idle Mem: 870M Active, 602M Inact, 783M Wired, 148K Cache, 682M Buf, 5679M Free Swap: 16G Total, 16G Free

PID	USERNAME	VCSW	IVCSW	READ	WRITE	FAULT	TOTAL	PERCENT	COMMAND
1527	mysql	75502	79761	241	254	0	495	5.88%	mysqld
1527	mysql	75502	79761	241	254	0	495	5.88%	mysqld
1527	mysql	75502	79761	241	254	0	495	5.88%	mysqld
1586	root	77934	33	0	0	0	0	0.00%	sysbench

Not currently supported by ZFS :-(

Tuning disk performance

Reduce disk contention

- Move competing I/O jobs onto independent disks
- Stripe multiple disks with gstripe
 - one logical filesystem, multiple physical devices can handle I/O independently
- For filesystems striped across multiple disks, make sure that the filesystem boundary is stripe-aligned
- e.g. for 64k stripe sizes, start of filesystem should be 64kaligned to avoid splitting I/O between multiple stripes
- Add more/better hardware

Tuning disk performance (2)

- Try to restructure the workload to separate "critical" data and "scratch" data
 - scratch data can be reconstructed or discarded after a crash
 - can afford to use fast but less reliable storage options
- mount -o async is fast but unsafe after a crash
 go one step further: store temporary data in memory
 mdconfig -a -t swap -s 4g; mount -o async
 - Creates a "swap-backed" memory device
 - Swap only used when memory is low, otherwise stored in RAM

Measuring network activity

netstat -w shows network traffic (bytes & packets/sec)

- Does traffic match expectations?
- Also shows protocol errors (-s)
 - retransmits, checksum errors, packet drops, corrupted packets, ...
- interface errors (-i)
 - usually a sign of bad media/NIC or mis-negotiated link (speed/duplex)
- Detailed investigation:
 - ∘ tcpdump
 - o ntop
 - o wireshark

Network performance tuning

Check packet loss and protocol negotiation

• Socket buffer too small?

kern.ipc.maxsockbuf maximum socket buffer size
 setsockopt(..., SO_{RCV,SND}BUF), ...)
 net.inet.udp.recvspace
 UDP will drop packets if the receive buffer fills
 TCP largely self-tuning

net.inet.tcp.inflight.enable rumoured to cause
 performance problems in some configurations

• Check for hardware problems

Device I/O

If top shows a significant CPU% spent processing interrupts, vmstat -i breaks down by device:

hydral# vmstat -i		
interrupt	total	rate
irq1: atkbd0	1	0
irq4: sio0	4148	0
irq6: fdc0	1	0
irq14: ata0	69	0
irq19: uhci1+	1712756	1018
cpu0: timer	688497400	2000
irq256: em0	1692373	1324

- '+' shows a shared interrupt; see dmesg boot logs
 Can limit performance, especially with shared "giant locked" interrupt handlers
 - Remove driver from kernel/(re)move device

Context switches

• top -m io shows context switches/second per process

- voluntary context switch
 - process blocks waiting for a resource
- involuntary context switch
 - Kernel decides that the process should stop running for now

Can indicate

- resource contention in the kernel (symptom)
- application design/configuration problem
 - e.g. too many threads, too little work per thread

System calls

• vmstat -w shows the rate of system calls system-wide

hydra1#	vmstat	-w 1														
procs	mem	ory	page					dj	lsks		fault	CS .	срі	l		
r b w	avm	fre	flt	re	pi	ро	fr	sr	ad4	ad5	in	sy	cs us	sy	id	
200	762M	3617M	32535	15	0	6	33348	0	0	0	295	370438	136078	3 48	3 25	27
1 0 0	762M	3617M	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	696503	51316	34	62	4
1 0 0	762M	3617M	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	698863	48835	34	62	3
4 0 0	762M	3617M	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	714385	53670	32	64	5
12 0 0	762M	3617M	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	692640	48050	35	63	2
900	762M	3617M	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	709299	50891	34	64	2
900	762M	3617M	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	715326	52402	35	62	3

- ktrace and truss will show you the system calls made by a process
- "raw feed" but can be useful for determining workload
 and if the application is doing something bizarre
 kernel AUDIT system also useful for filtering syscalls
 TIP: log to a memory disk

Using ktrace

hydral# ktrace -i -p 5349 hydral# ktrace -C hydral# kdump -Hs • • • 5349 100403 mysqld CALL pread(0x21,0x1679a0cd0,0xbd,0x59e6e72) <u>5349 100404 mysqld</u> CALL pread(0x20,0x1679240d0,0xbd,0x5a1dc43) 5349 10<u>0408 mysqld</u> pread(0x22,0x1676204d0,0xbd,0x5aaac73) CALL 5349 100410 mysqld CALL pread(0x18,0x1678608d0,0xbd,0x5a4ead7) 5349 100402 mysgld RET fcntl 0 5349 100409 mysqld RET pread 189/0xbd 5349 100404 mysqld GIO fd 32 read 189 bytes 5349 100408 mysqld GIO fd 34 read 189 bytes 5349 100403 mysqld fd 33 read 189 bytes GIO 5349 100410 mysqld GIO fd 24 read 189 bytes 5349 100404 mysgld RET pread 189/0xbd 5349 100403 mysgld pread 189/0xbd RET 5349 100402 mysqld gettimeofday(0x7fffff396560,0) CALL 5349 100410 mysqld pread 189/0xbd RET 5349 100405 mysqld pread 189/0xbd RET

Questionable application design (no caching with MyISAM)

Activity inside the kernel

 High system CPU% is often caused by multiple processes executing in the kernel at the same time

- o e.g. high syscall rate
- kernel lock contention
- Often indicates a kernel scalability problem
- But not always
 - User application (pthread) mutex contention also shows up in the kernel
 - Can indicate poor application design or configuration

Lock profiling

- Kernel lock operations, contention, hold time, ...
- options LOCK_PROFILING (MUTEX_PROFILING in 6.x)
- Low overhead when not in use
- Performance while profiling highly depends on hardware timecounter
- kern.timecounter.{choice,hardware}
- TSC fastest, but not usable on older SMP hardware
 - Not synchronized between CPUs
 - Variable with CPU frequency
 - Usable on modern Intel CPUs
 - o kern.timecounter.smp_tsc=1

Using lock profiling

sysctl debug.lock.prof.enable=1
...do stuff...
sysctl debug.lock.prof.enable=0

- file:line and lock type
- max maximum time held
- total total time held
- wait_total total time spent waiting to acquire the lock
- count how many times the lock acquired
- avg average time held
- wait_avg average time spend waiting
- cnt_hold times someone else tried to acquire while we held the lock
- cnt_lock times held by someone else when we tried to acquire

Lock profiling

sysctl debug.lock.prof.stats | sort -n -k 3

max	total	wait_total	count	avg v	vait_avg	cnt_hold	cnt_lock name
3081	4001607	677963	531745	7	1	59840	65792
vm/vm_fau	lt.c:293 (sl	eep mutex:vm ob	oject)				
348	620952	729407	531735	1	1	34587	75348
amd64/amd	64/trap.c:66	1 (sleep mutex:	process lc	ck)			
211	303930	852309	321175	0	2	30751	84173
kern/kern	_sig.c:996 (<pre>sleep mutex:pro</pre>	ocess lock)				
5930	2811916	1022925	4352	646	235	4679	4340 vm/vm_map.
c:3213 (s	x:user map)						
3101	399387	4571790	20466	19	223	544	12655 vm/vm_map.
c:1273 (s	x:user map)						
3945	2123772	4585827	18938	112	242	3438	11753 vm/vm mmap.
c:560 (sx	user map)						

Shows high contention and resulting wait time on sx:user map coming from mmapped memory.

Sleepqueue profiling (8.0)

```
    Could be merged to 7.x
```

```
    Statistics of wait channels (reasons for sleeping in kernel)
```

- Can help characterize kernel workload
- options SLEEPQUEUE PROFILING sysctl debug.sleepq.enable=1

```
...do stuff...
```

```
sysctl debug.sleepq.enable=0
```

```
sysctl debug.sleepq.stats | sort -n -k 2
```

```
umtxqb 1521
- 1864
sigwait 10831
umtxn 14141
getblk 56149
sbwait 603638
```

Hardware performance counters (pmc)

- Application/kernel profiling using CPU performance counters
 - o instructions, cache misses, branch mis-predicts, ...
 - call graph or instruction-level
 - \circ low overhead
- options HWPMC_HOOKS
 - device hwpmc (or load module)
 - pmcstat -S instructions -O <outfile> &
 ...do stuff...
 - killall pmcstat
- Post-processing to gprof output
- Support for modern Intel CPUs in 7.1
- Google "sixty second pmc howto"; pmc(3)

Kernel tuning

- FreeBSD is largely auto-tuning
- Run a modern version (e.g. 7.0 or 7.1)
- Evaluate the ULE scheduler
 - o default in 7.1
 - better interactive response
 - CPU affinity helps many workloads
 - ...but not all (slightly more overhead than 4BSD)
- Turn on superpages (8.0)
- Turn off debugging (8.0)
- Use a fast timecounter (TSC) if it matters to your workload (e.g. java 1.5) and your hardware allows it

Benchmarking techniques

1. Identify a self-contained test case

- Repeatable
 - e.g. constant size workload
 - constant time
- Small, clearly demonstrates the problem
- 2. Change one thing at a time
- 3. Measure carefully
 - Several repeated measurements under identical conditions
 - Over a suitably long time interval
 - Avoid confirmation bias

trust the numbers, not your perceptions

o /usr/src/tools/tools/ministat is your friend

Using ministat

- file containing list of data points from repeated runs of the benchmark under identical conditions
- two or more files with datasets from different conditions
- Uses "Student's t" test to determine likelihood that the datasets differ, and by how much

ministat output

hydral# ministat -w 60 /tmp/mysql-4bsd /tmp/mysql-ule x /tmp/mysql-4bsd + /tmp/mysql-ule XX XXX | XXX | ++++ | | A | | A N Min Max Median Avg Stddev <u>x 8 2137.84</u> 2161.64 2154.225 2151.9587 9.9307178 8 2761.64 2853.32 2789.6 2796.67 35.349801 Difference at 95.0% confidence 644.711 +/- 27.8461 29.9593% +/- 1.29399% (Student's t, pooled s = 25.9637)

 "95% confidence level that second numbers are 29 ± 1.3% higher than first"

When to throw hardware at the problem

- Only once you have have determined that a particular hardware resource is your limiting factor
 More CPU cores will not solve a slow disk
- Adding RAM can reduce the need for some disk I/O
 more cached data, less paging from disk
- Adding more CPU cores is not a magic bullet for CPU limited jobs
 - some applications do not scale well
 - high CPU can be caused by resource contention
 increasing resource contention will make performance *worse!*

Help, I'm still stuck!

Talk to a developer

- application developer
 - if you think the problem is related to a particular application

FreeBSD support mailing list

 if you think it is a FreeBSD performance problem or configuration issue

questions@FreeBSD.org (general support)

- hackers@FreeBSD.org (technical questions)
- We may not be able to help
 - but armed with the data you have collected you're off to a good start!